

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/967,117		09/29/2001	Robert D. Dickinson III	TUMB-102 CON2	9177
26137	7590	06/01/2004		EXAMINER	
PATENT I			CALLAHAN, PAUL E		
SKADDEN FOUR TIM	, ,	LATE, MEAGHER RE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YOR	K, NŶ I	0036		2137	1
				DATE MAILED: 06/01/2004	, 10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

L				91
•	Appli	ication No.	Applicant(s)	
.,	09/9	67,117	DICKINSON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Exan	niner	Art Unit	
	Paul	Callahan	2137	
The MAILING DATE of this comm	unication appears o	n the cover sheet	with the correspondence addres	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU - Extensions of time may be available under the provisi after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thint. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for real Any reply received by the Office later than three mont earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	JNICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In ommunication. y (30) days, a reply within the n statutory period will apply apply will, by statute, cause the hs after the mailing date of the	no event, however, may ne statutory minimum of t and will expire SIX (6) M ne application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this commur ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 10 Novemb	er 2003.		
2a)☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action			
3) Since this application is in condition	•		atters, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the pra				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in th	e application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is		n consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to	•			
8) Claim(s) are subject to res		ion requirement.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re: a) accepted	or b)□ objected t	o by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any of				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) include				121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claimable a) All b) Some * c) None of		y under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the prior		been received.		
2. Certified copies of the prior	·		Application No	
	-		en received in this National Stag	je
application from the Interna		•		
* See the attached detailed Office ac	•		ot received.	
Attachment(s)		_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	/DTO 048\		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8.9,14. 			f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Su	ımmary	Part of Paper No./Mail	Date 15

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/967,117

Art Unit: 2137

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11-10-2003 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 1-26 were pending in this case as of the mailing of the previous Office Action. New claims 27 and 28 have been added by the latest amendment. Claims 1-28 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 7, 16, 17, 18, 24, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims each contain the limitation of "..an e-mail firewall associated with an organization..." It is unclear what the Applicant intends the term "Organization" to encompass or how the term limits the claim in any way since any firewall may be associated with an organization simply by virtue of the necessity of use of an ISP for Internet connectivity.

Claims 8-28 are dependent on claims 7, 18, and 25 and are therefore rejected on the same basis as those claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made

Application/Control Number: 09/967,117

Art Unit: 2137

to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-6, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Landfield, US Patent 5,632,011, Bruce Schneier: Applied cryptography 2nd Edition, Oct. 1995, John Wiley & Sons Pub., and Aziz, US Patent 5,416,842.

As per claim 1, Landfield teaches a method for transmitting a message between a sender user associated with a first email firewall and a recipient user associated with a second e-mail firewall, the method comprising: intercepting a plurality of packets associated with a message from the sender user intended for the recipient user (abstract); said data packets generated by a process outside of said first e-mail firewall (fig. 1); assembling said data packets to an application level message (col. 4 lines 18-21) searching an encryption directory for an entry associated with the second e-mail firewall associated with the recipient user (col. 4 lines 25-45); retrieving an encryption key associated with the second e-mail firewall, the second firewall associated with a plurality of recipient users; encoding the assembled message and allowing the message to proceed through the firewall (fig 2a, b, c) to the recipient user. Landfield does not explicitly teach encrypting with an encryption key associated with the second e-mail firewall to provide an encrypted message, however Aziz does teach this step (Abstract). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate this feature into the system of Landfield. It would be desirable to do so as this would increase the security of the communications system.

As per claim 2, Landfield does not explicitly teach encoding the message is by employing an encryption key that is stored locally by the first e-mail firewall however such is taught implicitly by fig. 2c items 52 and 54 where a message is received in encrypted form.

Application/Control Number: 09/967,117

Art Unit: 2137

As per claims 3 -5, Landfield does not teach encoding the message is by employing an encryption key that is retrieved from an external server over a secure data link that employs a locally stored encryption key associated with the external server. However Schneier teaches such, pages 185-187: "Public Key Management" Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate this feature of Schneier into the system of Landfield. It would have been desirable to do so as this would decrease storage overhead at the firewall.

As per claim 6, Landfield teaches a method for receiving a message from a sender user associated with a first e-mail firewall by a recipient user associated with a second e-mail firewall, and ultimately allowing the message to proceed through the firewall to the recipient user, but does not teach the first e-mail firewall encoding the message by using an encryption key of the second e-mail firewall, comprising: intercepting the message; by the second e-mail firewall; decoding the message with a private key of the second e-mail firewall. Schneier does teach these steps at pages 31-33 and 185-187. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate this feature of Schneier into the system of Landfield. It would have been desirable to do so as this would decrease storage overhead at the firewall.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul E. Callahan whose telephone number is (703) 305-1336. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Morse, can be reached on (703) 308-4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is: (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/967,117

Art Unit: 2137

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

5-28-2004

Paul Callahan